1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Present: Also Present:	Deborah Wrobel, Chair Jay Diener, Vice-Chair Pat Swank, Clerk Pete Tilton Sharon Raymond Bob Fox Diane Shaw, Alternate Planning Board Representati	ve Ann Carnaby		
10		•	J		
11	I. Call to Order: 7:00 PM				
12	Chair Wrobel called the meeting to order at 7:00 PM. Alternate Diane Shaw was activated.				
13	II. Review Minutes				
14	Ms. Swank recommended				
15	MOTION:				
16	SECOND:				
17	VOTE: 6-0-1 (Ms. S	Shaw abstained)	MOTION PASSED		
18	III. Applications				
19	1. 465, 467, 469 Oce	an Boulevard	Town Wetlands Permit		
20		<u>NHDES</u>	Wetlands and Non-Site Specific Permit		
21 22 23 24	Owners: Elaine Ayotte & Frederick Ayotte (465), J. Hunter Properties (467), The Stephen LaBranche Living Trust (469) Applicant: RJS Consulting, LLC, Attn: Rick Smith Agent: Joe Coronati, Jones and Beach Engineering				
25 26	Pavement	rmit: Replace existing asphalt b	ehind 469 Ocean Boulevard with porous		
27	NHDES Permit: Convert property to condominium building; raise parking area from existing				
28	ground and install a retaining wall to lessen impacts.				
29 30	Ice Coronati of Ice	nes & Reach Engineering preser	ated the application on behalf of the three		
31			alk over the weekend. There are three		
32	adjacent properties involved, 465, 467 and 469 Ocean Boulevard. 465 and 467 are proposed				
33	_	-	ne location requiring the wetland permit is		
34 35			tween. The pavement behind will be		
55	located belining the	property. There is a wettaild be	tween. The pavement bennia win be		

36 37 38	removed and replaced with porous pavement. The shared access will be relocated. The new easement is being reviewed by the Town attorney. The buffer impact will also require permits from State Wetlands, Shoreland and Site Plan Review and DOT.
39 40 41	Mr. Tilton asked about the permanent impact and Mr. Coronati noted it will be less as the asphalt is being taken out. The stormwater will be infiltrated where it wasn't before. There will be a reduction in pervious.
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	Mr. Diener asked about grading and elevation changes and Mr. Coronati noted the grading plan is shown on Sheet C-3. There is a need to lift the grade. There will be a two-foot-high retaining wall in the right corner ½" higher than the pavement, 6" off the property line. The grade will be the same at the back of 469 Ocean Boulevard. Mr. LaBranche noted it is currently paved to the border of the Seabreeze Condominium. There used to be a fence there but winds were an issue and the fence came down. People were walking through and so Mr. LaBranche planted Rose Rugosa in holes made in the asphalt which grew to resemble a hedge.
50 51 52 53	Mr. Diener asked if there were additional impacts to abutting properties and Mr. Coronati noted there would be none behind but the grade does get lower along the property line, that is a small change. Mr. Diener noted he does not want to see work done on one property affect another. Ms. Shaw agreed.
54 55	Ms. Swank asked about the area behind 467 Ocean Boulevard, if it is lawn and Mr. Coronati noted it is used as parking.
56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70	Ms. Swank asked about maintenance of the porous systems and whether it would be specified in the condominium documents. Mr. Coronati noted the Town has an operations manual maintenance regulation. The LaBranche property and condominium property would be maintained separately. The Town sends out a letter asking for a yearly inspection report. The condominium would be responsible for their report and Mr. LaBranche for his. Ms. Carnaby will confirm that with the Planning Board. Ms. Swank gave examples of what happens when the maintenance is not kept up and the porous system fails. Mr. Coronati noted there would be signage for the eco pavers and the porous pavement. Mr. Fox asked about the porous rate and how much rain water it could handle before flooding into neighbor's property and Mr. Coronati indicated that is part of the Planning Board review and Public Works who review the stormwater calculations. The Town requires a 100-year storm event and there is stormwater analysis and test pits. Mr. Fox asked if the ground water is tidally influenced. Mr. Coronati explained they look at the soils to determine that. Ms. Raymond asked the type of soils and Mr. Coronati noted the groundwater tables were 24" and 28" with decent gravel soil. Mr. Fox noted there should be good drainage. Mr. Diener asked if as part of the new NHDES application the influence of groundwater rise was looked at and Mr. Coronati noted it was not
71 72	application the influence of groundwater rise was looked at and Mr. Coronati noted it was not done yet.

Hampton Conservation Commission Draft Minutes

Tuesday, June 22, 2021 7:00 PM

- Mr. Diener asked whether the small, vegetated area on 469 Ocean Boulevard will be kept and
- Mr. Coronati noted it is being removed.
- 75 Chair Wrobel opened the hearing to the public for comments and questions at 7:30 PM and
- being none closed the hearing to the public for deliberations.
- 77 **MOTION:** Mr. Tilton motioned to support the application for a Town Wetlands Permit for 465,
- 78 467 and 469 Ocean Boulevard.

85

87

98

102

- 79 **SECOND:** Mr. Fox seconded the motion
- 80 VOTE: 7-0-0 MOTION PASSED
- 81 Chair Wrobel asked about suggestions for placement of WCD markers and Mr. Diener
- recommended on the wall being constructed which Mr. Coronati agreed would be suitable on
- posts or the shed. Mr. LaBranche noted he had no problem with the shed. Chair Wrobel
- 84 indicated the additional stipulations of approval:
- 1. Two Wetlands Conservation District markers to be installed on the wall and shed.
- 2. The buffer should remain undisturbed to the degree possible in the process of construction and elevations not be changed. No additional fill is allowed.
- 90 3. There shall be no additional structures or impervious surfaces in the buffer, other than those
- 91 shown on the approved plan. A new Wetlands Permit is required for the construction of any
- additional structure(s) or impervious surface(s) in the buffer.
- 93 4. If the project requires an occupancy permit, the Conservation Coordinator shall not sign the
- 94 permit until all of the Wetland Permit conditions have been met. The Conservation Coordinator
- shall be given a minimum of 72-hour notice to allow for file review.
- 96 5. Proper erosion control will be in place before construction begins and remain in place until
- 97 the area is stabilized and removed after construction is complete.
- 99 6. The Conservation Commission shall be notified in writing upon commencement and
- completion of the project. A final inspection shall also be scheduled with the Conservation
- 101 Commission upon completion of the project.
- 7. This permit will expire two years from the date that it is granted by the Planning Board.
- 104 Refer to Hampton Zoning Ordinance Section 2.3.5 or information on permit extensions.
- 106 Chair Wrobel asked Mr. Coronati if there was anything substantially different about the NHDES
- permit application and Mr. Coronati noted the setback and upland tidal buffer one. Mr. Coronati
- displayed the plan showing the finger wetland between the two lots.

7:00 PM

109				
110	Chair Wrobel asked if there were any questions from the public and there were none.			
111	MOTION: Mr. Tilton motioned to not oppose the NHDES permit.			
112	SECOND: Ms. Swank seconded the motion.			
113	VOTE: 7-0-0 MOTION PASSED			
114 115	Chair Wrobel noted a letter will go out directly to the State.			
116 117 118 119 120	2. 35 Park Avenue Owner: Chelsie Portlock Add fill to yard to create gentle slope. Remove existing crushed stone. Install 4' chain link fence around outer edge of backyard.			
121 122 123 124 125 126	Chelsie Portlock presented the application for a Town Wetlands Permit for 35 Park Avenue. She indicated she wanted to put up a fence in the backyard for her dogs and brought in fill because there was an unsafe drop off. The former owner had proposed a retaining wall and didn't do it. Before the fill was delivered she contacted the Town and was told by the Building Inspector to fill out a building permit, draw a picture and submit it and proceeded. Then Ms. Campbell showed up and told her she needed a wetland permit.			
127 128 129 130 131	Mr. Diener reviewed the history of the property's violation years ago. A plan had been put together and not implemented. There was a delineation the Building Inspector was not aware of and so she did not get accurate information. The backyard is almost completely in the buffer. A big chunk of the fence would be in the wetlands.			
132 133 134 135 136 137 138	Ms. Raymond asked if the fill went to the edge of the wetland and felt the fence should be above, the fill vegetated and restored. Owners have had to remove fill before. GIS should not be relied on for wetland delineation. The restored area should not be touched and not lawn. Ms. Raymond noted she had an issue that it goes right up to the wetland edge. The fill shouldn't be there.			
138 139 140 141 142	Mr. Tilton agreed and noted it is not the owner's fault, but the disturbed area should be seeded and allowed to just be and not be lawn. Ms. Raymond noted the buffer goes almost all the way to the house and should not be lawn because it is buffer.			
142 143 144 145	Ms. Shaw agreed the owner should work with pulling the fence back and vegetating the slope and not maintain it as lawn. Ms. Swank questioned the distance from the wetland line to the creek. Ms. Raymond noted the creek is outside the property line.			

7:00 PM

146					
147	Chair Wrobel asked the homeowner if she had plans to revegetate and she indicated she died,				
148	with blueberry bushes.				
149					
150	Chair Wrobel opened the hearing to the public for comments and questions at 7:55 PM and				
151	being none closed the hearing for deliberations.				
152					
153	MOTION: Mr. Diener motioned to recommend approval of the Town Wetlands Permit with the				
154	modified plan subject to:				
155	The fence be pulled back to the toe of the slope and everything streamside of the fence be				
156	naturally vegetated and everything within the buffer on the house side of fence be maintained as				
157	a vegetated area.				
158	AMENDMENT: Mr. Diener amended his motion to include that the fence should go where the				
159	retaining wall (proposed by the previous owners) was. House side of the fence should be lawn.				
160	Streamside should be naturally vegetated. Ms. Raymond added and no fertilizer.				
	, - , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
161	SECOND: Ms. Swank seconded the motion				
162	VOTE: No action taken on motion. MOTION <i>FAILED</i>				
163	Mr. Tilton questioned the lawn area house ward of the fence and whether the violation should be				
164	restored. Mr. Diener noted it was not addressed with the previous owner who was supposed to				
165	put in a retaining wall.				
166					
167	Mr. Tilton asked about slope change and Mr. Diener noted it was closer to the stream than the				
168	retaining wall was supposed to be by about 5-10.'				
169					
170	Ms. Raymond noted there is a surveyed plan and measurements should be available. The fill				
171	area should not be lawn. The buffer should stay natural, and she does not agree house side of the				
172	fence should be lawn. A manicured lawn does not offer the same protection and is not permitted				
173	in this area.				
174					
175	Mr. Diener noted it was lawn before and the owners were allowed to maintain. Ms. Raymond				
176	noted the fill is considered a violation. In other cases the Commission has made owners remove				
177	violations. Ms. Raymond noted she would not have okayed this. Mr. Diener noted he would				
178	have to go back and look at the record that was approved before. Mr. Tilton agreed and noted it				
170					
179	made sense to go back and look at records and not compound the problem that records were				
179 180 181	made sense to go back and look at records and not compound the problem that records were inaccurately relayed to the homeowner already.				

Mr. Fox asked if the matter could be continued, and the homeowner agreed. Chair Wrobel noted

she will look up the records to demonstrate consistency in the area between the house and fence

182

Hampton Conservation Commission Draft Minutes

Tuesday, June 22, 2021 7:00 PM

184 185 186	and bring the applicant back. The fence will be where the retaining wall would have been. Mr. Tilton added that the Commission is not asking the homeowner to remove the fill.		
187 188 189 190 191	3. 45 Nudd Avenue NHDES Expedited Minimum Impact Wetlands Permit Application Owner: David Cardilo & Christine Cardilo Applicant: Darren Sturtevant Agent: Tim Ferwerda, Ferwerda Mapping		
192 193 194 195 196 197	Norman Carpentier indicated he represented the applicant, Mr. Sturtevant who is purchasing the property. The proposal is to raise and elevate the dwelling out of the flood zone in the same location. The existing foundation will be removed. Helical piles will be installed on concrete piers. Three steps will be added. Elevation will be at 10.2. It will be FEMA compliant with the additional 1' of freeboard allowed by the Town to eliminate flooding. Water will flow underneath. There will be stone under.		
198 199 200 201	Ms. Raymond noted it was a good idea to raise it. Mr. Fox noted FEMA may change its regulations again and recommend further raising. Mr. Carpentier noted the added stairs create sealed surface. The failsafe is already built in, in this case. The Town has been proactive. In January it went from AE9 to AE8, 2.2' above base flood with 2' of freeboard.		
202 203 204	Ms. Carnaby who was on the site walk asked if the home were sound enough to raise. Mr. Carpentier noted the porch will be rebuilt. The bank will not finance the property if in the flood zone.		
205 206	Chair Wrobel opened the hearing to the public for comments and questions at 8:20 PM and being none closed the hearing for deliberations.		
207	MOTION: Mr. Diener motioned to approve the Chair signing the permit.		
208	SECOND: Mr. Tilton seconded the motion.		
209	VOTE: 7-0-0 MOTION PASSED		
210 211 212	Chair Wrobel noted the Town Clerk will also need to sign. The appointment to discuss the Town Wetland Permit was cancelled as the deadline was the first Tuesday of the month and the paperwork was received yesterday.		

214	IV. Appointments		
215			
216	V. New Business		
217			
218	1. Donation of Conservation Land Update (previously approved February 2020)		
219			
220	Chair Wrobel noted on February 25, 2020, the Commission voted to accept three small parcels.		
221	The titles went to the Town attorney for research, and it was recommended the vote be		
222	reaffirmed to accept pending probate approval. The descriptions were recommended to include		
223	reference to tax map and lot numbers.		
224			
225	MOTION: Mr. Diener motioned to reaffirm the Commission's February 25, 2020 vote pending		
226	probate approval.		
227			
228	SECOND: Mr. Tilton seconded the motion.		
229			
230	VOTE: 7-0-0 MOTION PASSED		
231			
232	VI. Old Business		
233			
234	1. CHAT Update		
235			
236	Mr. Diener noted at the last meeting the Board recommended their favorite CHAT items to bring		
237	forward. #3 was to compel the Planning Board, Conservation Commission and ZBA not to take		
238	action before going through the Planning Review Committee but the Town has no ability to		
239	compel the ZBA to do that. Mr. Diener noted he met with the ZBA to see if there was a way that		
240	all three boards could understand flood risk. #5 was public education and outreach and the		
241	Commission should consider who will take on that responsibility and manage that effort.		
242			
243	Ms. Raymond recommended picking the top five violations and finding a way to educate the		
244	public. Pictures are very helpful, a PowerPoint could be easily put together and posted on		
245	Channel 22, the Town website and Facebook page. One example is maintenance of porous		
246	pavers, maintenance and OEM reports filed annually. Mr. Diener added the Town Forest clean		
247	up and dune planting.		
248			
249	Mr. Diener noted recommendations #10 and #11 concern Special Flood Hazard area and the		
250	Town does not have a Special Flood Hazard area. The Commission could work with the		
251	Planning Board to define and establish one and look at ordinance overlays to proceed with.		
252	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
253	Mr. Diener recommended working on Conservation parcels to acquire adjacent to salt marsh and		

Page **7** of **9**

flood storage areas. Two years ago SHEA made a presentation on target parcels to approach for

254

255256257

easements.

- 258 Mr. Diener recapped the recommendations prioritized by the Commission this year:
- 259

261

262

- #5 public education and awareness
 - #10 working with the Planning Board to establish a Special Flood Hazard area; and
 - Conserve/purchase flood storage land adjacent to the salt marsh

263264

VII. Conservation Coordinator and Chair update

265

- 266 Chair Wrobel provided the handout prepared by Mr. Diener for the kiosk at the Car Barn Pond.
- The Commission will memorialize Barbara Renaud who passed away and was a Conservation
- 268 Commission member. A trail is being developed. A lot of information was obtained from the
- 269 Historical Society. Ms. Swank noted there was a lot of good information but questioned whether
- the trend should be followed to put less text on kiosks and use QR codes where more information
- 271 might be wanted. The photos are really good. Mr. Fox recommended adding a map of the
- 272 former trolley line.

273

- 274 Chair Wrobel discussed the dune restoration project at Ancient Highway. She met with Eben
- Lewis from NHDES and Jen Hale from DPW. In the fall the existing boardwalk can be replaced
- with the matting system and ropes installed to protect the dune plantings. Signage will be redone
- to educate visitors on the value of dunes. On the left side storms had washed out the sand and the
- 278 project will include rebuilding that and revegetating. Mr. Lewis recommended working with
- 279 UNH Ext. and noted there may be grants available or even a way to simplify the permit process.
- Alison Eberhardt will work with the Commission on planting. Plants will be planted to hold the
- dune in place. Ms. Swank asked bout coir logs because the plants did not take without them.
- 282 Chair Wrobel noted the dune grass had a more extensive root system than the Rugosa Rose. The
- 283 Commission unanimously approved moving forward with the grant application.

284 285

VIII. Non-Public Session

286

- 287 **MOTION:** Mr. Tilton motioned to go into non-public session pursuant to RSA 91-A:3(II) (b)
- and (d) hiring of a public employee and consideration of the acquisition, sale or lease of real or
- personal property which, if discussed in public, would likely benefit a party or parties whose interests are
- adverse to those of the general community
- 291 **SECOND:** Mr. Diener seconded the motion

MOTION PASSED

- 292 **ROLL CALL VOTE: 7-0-0**
- Raymond ave, Fox ave, Tilton ave, Diener ave, Wrobel ave, Shaw ave and Swank –
- 294 aye.
- 295 The meeting was closed to the public at 8:54 PM.
- 296 **MOTION:** Mr. Diener motioned to come out of non-public session.
- 297 **SECOND:** Ms. Raymond seconded the motion.

MOTION PASSED

298	ROLL CALL VOTE: 7-0-0				
299 300	Raymond – aye, Fox – aye, Tilton – aye, Diener – aye, Wrobel – aye, Shaw – aye and Swank – aye.				
301	The meeting was reopened to the public at 9:35 PM.				
302	MOTION: Mr. Tilton motioned to seal the minutes of the non-public sessions.				
303	SECOND:	Mr. Fox seconded the motion.	MOTION PASSED		
304	ROLL CALL VOTE: 7-0-0				
305 306 307	Raymond-aye, Fox-aye, Tilton-aye, Diener-aye, Wrobel-aye, Shaw-aye and Swank-aye.				
308	IX. Adjourn.				
309	MOTION:	Ms. Raymond motioned to adjourn.			
310	SECOND:	Ms. Swank seconded the motion.			
311 312			MOTION PASSED		
313	Respectfully submitted,				
314					
315 316	Nancy J. Hoijer, Recording Secretary				